

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DIGIMARC CORPORATION 9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE BEAVERTON, OR 97008

**COPY MAILED** 

FEB 2 8 2006

In re Application of William C. Hein III et al. Application No. 09/706,505 Filed: November 2, 2000 Attorney Docket No.: 60288 **OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed October 17, 2005 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, under 37 CFR 1.181.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.181," or, as explained in more detail below, "...under 37 CFR 1.137(a)" or (b). This is not a final agency decision.

The application was held abandoned on April 28, 2005, for failure to file a timely response to the Final Office Action mailed January 27, 2005. The office action set a three month shortened statutory period for reply. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 21, 2005.

Petitioner asserts that in a telephone conversation between petitioner and the Examiner responsible for examining this application, the Examiner agreed to re-send the office action as a "non-Final" office action rather than have the petitioner respond to the "Final" office action. Unfortunately however, the requirement for responding to communications mailed from the USPTO must be in writing as is required by 37 CFR 1.2. Petitioners are advised that in the absence of the Final Office Action not being withdrawn by the USPTO, then by law a response was required. The failure to file a response caused the application to become abandoned.

Applicants are not afforded an opportunity to withhold a written response to an office communication even based on a conversation and reliance upon that conversation with an office employee. In view thereof, the holding of abandonment will not be withdrawn.

## **ALTERNATIVE VENUES**

Petitioner may wish to consider filing a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a)<sup>1</sup> or 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>2</sup> which now provides that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The filing of a petition under the unintentional standard cannot be intentionally delayed and therefore should be filed promptly. A person seeking revival due to unintentional delay cannot make a statement that the delay was unintentional unless the entire delay, including the delay from the date it was discovered that the application was abandoned until the filing of the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b), was unintentional. A statement that the delay was unintentional is not appropriate if petitioner intentionally delayed the filing of a petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed; In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(I);

<sup>(3)</sup> a showing to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

**Senior Petitions Attorney** 

Office of Petitions